	Caseas: 06:06-03743749VJSWD obcoment: 1717	78 <b>Filed 08/29/23</b> 08Pageage12of 2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	John W. Crowley (State Bar No. 039041) Robert B. Stringer (State Bar No. 056148) Crowley, Stringer & Fenske LLP 456 Montgomery Street - 17th Floor San Francisco, California 94104-1250 Telephone: (415) 989-1100 Facsimile: (415) 421-6651 E-mail: jcrowley@crowleysf.com Attorneys for Plaintiff SAN FRANCISCO BA AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT  James A. Bruen (State Bar No. 43880) Charles M. Sink (State Bar No. 078168) Carl E. Switzer (State Bar No. 211858) Arjun Agarwal (State Bar No. 233576) Farella Braun + Martel LLP 235 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 954-4400 Facsimile: (415) 954-4480	Y
	San Francisco, Čalifornia 94104-1250 Telephone: (415) 989-1100	
	E-mail: jcrowley@crowleysf.com	V
		A I
	Charles M. Sink (State Bar No. 078168)	
8	Arjun Agarwal (State Bar No. 233576)	
9	235 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor	
	Telephone: (415) 954-4400 Facsimile: (415) 954-4480	
11 12	Attorneys for Defendant GE TRANSPORTAT SYSTEMS GLOBAL SIGNALLING, LLC	ΓΙΟΝ
13	LINITED STATE	SS DISTRICT COLIRT
14	ONTED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15	NORTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
16	SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT,	Case No. C 06 3749 JSW
17 18	Plaintiff,	PROPOSED ORDER ENFORCING WAIVER OF PROTECTION OF CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENTS
19	VS.	
20	GE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS GLOBAL SIGNALING, LLC,	Complaint Filed: June 13, 2006 Trial Date: October 5, 2009
21	Defendant.	
22 23	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIM	
24		
25		
26	certain confidentiality agreements that they executed before this action was filed,	
27		
28		
	PROPOSED ORDER ENEODONIO WALKER OF	

1	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:		
2	1. Plaintiff/counterdefendant SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT		
3	DISTRICT and defendant/counterclaimant GE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS - GLOBAL		
4	SIGNALING have waived the protection of the confidentiality agreements that the parties		
5	executed in July 2003, February 2004, July 2004, March 2005 and May 2005, so that all		
6	evidentiary and discovery issues in this action will be resolved as though the confidentiality		
7	agreements were never executed.		
8	2. The foregoing waiver will inure to the benefit of and is binding upon the parties, their		
9	assignees and successors-in-interest.		
10	DATED: August 29, 2008		
11			
12			
13	Jeffrey Swhite		
14	HONUE FREY S. WHITE JUDGE OF THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT		
15	JOBOL OF THE C.S. DISTRICT COCKT		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

27

28